Exhibit 6

I	LA5HCusC	1 age 2 of 10 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	IN RE:	- /
4	18 MD 286	5 (LAK)
5	CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK	
6	(SKAT) TAX REFUND LITIGATION, Conference	е
7	x	
8	New York, October 5 10:40 a.m	, 2021
9	Before:	
10		
11	HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,	
12	District	Judge
13	APPEARANCES	
14	HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff SKAT BY: MARC WEINSTEIN CAROLYN HARBUS WILLIAM MAGUIRE	
15		
16	KOSTELANETZ & FINK, LLP Attorneys for Defendants Azalea Pension Plan, et al. BY: SHARON McCARTHY NICHOLAS BAHNSEN	
17		
18		
19	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP Attorneys for Defendants Avanix Management LLC, et al.	
20	BY: ALAN SCHOENFELD ALLISON STODDART	
21		
22	POULOS LoPICCOLO P.C. Attorneys for Defendants Roger Lehman, et al. BY: JOSEPH LoPICCOLO	
23	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP	
24	Attorneys for Defendants Sander Gerber and Sander Gerber Pension Plan	
25	BY: AMY McKINLAY	
1		

```
LA5HCusC
 1
                            APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
 2
      GUSRAE KAPLAN NUSBAUM PLLC
           Attorneys for Defendants Goldstein defendants
 3
      BY: MARTIN H. KAPLAN
      K&L Gates LLP
 4
           Attorneys for Defendants DW Construction, et al.
 5
      BY: JOHN C. BLESSINGTON
      BINDER & SCHWARTZ LLP
 6
           Attorneys for Defendants ED&F Man Capital Markets Ltd., et
 7
      al.
      BY:
          NEIL S. BINDER
 8
           GREG C. PRUDEN
 9
      KOSTELANETZ & FINK, LLP
           Attorneys for Defendants John Doscas, et al.
10
      BY:
           SHARON McCARTHY
11
      MOORE TAX LAW GROUP LLC
           Attorneys for Defendant Robert Klugman
12
      BY: ZHANNA ZIERING (Telephonic)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

25

which I've referred to Judge Lehrburger. 1 2 Is there anything else with respect to documents that 3 I haven't mentioned? 4 MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't believe so, your Honor. that last motion that your Honor sent down to the magistrate 5 judge, that was decided as well. 6 7 THE COURT: OK. Great. When was it decided? 8 9 MR. WEINSTEIN: It was decided, yes, June. I can get 10 you the exact date. 11 THE COURT: Doesn't matter. MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. 12 13 THE COURT: So there's been no appeal from that 14 ruling, right, whatever it was? 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: Correct. There's been productions made as a result. 16 17 THE COURT: So I go on to depositions. To the extent 18 I gleaned it from the documents I reviewed last night and 19 previously, SKAT indicated it wanted 11 depositions as of that 20 date, and I have a couple of questions about that. 21 Did that figure, first of all, include Ben-Jacob and 22 Lehman? 23 Yes, your Honor. MR. WEINSTEIN: 24 THE COURT: How many remain to be done of the 11?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Mr. Lehman's deposition was taken, so

that leaves approximately ten. Mr. Ben-Jacob's deposition is scheduled for next week, Monday and Tuesday.

THE COURT: Are the other nine the Danish witnesses, or that's something else altogether?

MR. WEINSTEIN: That's something else altogether. I can give your Honor an update on that as well.

THE COURT: We'll get to that.

Who are the other nine?

MR. WEINSTEIN: So there are -- there's a 30(b)(6) witness from ED&F that is scheduled for later this week, your Honor. There are a few defendants who, for health or other reasons, had not been able to be deposed. We're still checking if that's the case.

I just can give you names. Gavin Cresczenzo had been stationed in Afghanistan for a long time. So we have inquired to see if he is back and available for deposition.

Kevin Kenning has had health issues.

THE COURT: Kenning?

MR. WEINSTEIN: K-e-n-n-i-n-g. So we've agreed to just put that off until he's physically able to be deposed.

There are two other defendants, Mr. Gerber and Mr. Burns, where there's been discussion with counsel as to whether we would need to take their deposition, because we believe we can actually resolve those cases.

As far as named defendant depositions go, that is it

for those who have not been deposed at all. There are one or two that we believe we will need to continue their deposition, one by agreement and one not yet.

THE COURT: So the end is in sight with respect to your depositions, is that right?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. There are also some nonparty depositions that we've noticed for the end of October. So we are attempting to complete all depositions by the end of October.

THE COURT: Now, at one point I was told the defendants, which I take to be the defendants generally, wanted to take ten depositions, and then there was some separate issue relating to Goldstein with respect to ED&F. Can somebody bring me up to date on that?

Thank you, Mr. Weinstein.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Sure. Your Honor, with respect to the defendants' requested depositions, so over the past two weeks, prior to this week, six of those depositions were taken in Denmark.

THE COURT: That's six out of the nine?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Right. We had the remaining three set for next week. The defendants informed us yesterday they were withdrawing two of those. So there is one left to go that is scheduled for next Friday. So, as far as I know, that does it for defendants' depositions of SKAT witnesses.

I will turn it over to Mr. Goldstein's counsel with respect to their notices.

THE COURT: Before we get to Mr. Gold- --

MR. WEINSTEIN: Kaplan.

THE COURT: Forgive me for not being able to remember all the names at first. Mr. Kaplan. I ought to be able to remember that, right? Can't have too many good Kaplans.

Putting aside, Mr. Kaplan's clients, that takes care of all the defendants' depositions, is that right?

Ms. McCarthy, do you speak for the multitude?

MS. McCARTHY: I'll speak, your Honor. Yes, it does take care of defendants' depositions.

Your Honor, I just have one point to make here.

Mr. Weinstein just mentioned that SKAT has issued subpoenas for nonparties, and those depositions are scheduled to take place, according to their notices, before the end of October.

Your Honor, we agreed with SKAT on a June 30 discovery cutoff. So we just received notice on Friday of three of those four depositions. We do not believe that that's appropriate for SKAT to be continuing to issue notices of subpoena past June 30. We agreed with SKAT that they could go past June 30 for depositions of defendants, and they agreed that we could continue with our depositions in Denmark that we were authorized to take. Beyond that, we believe discovery closed, according to our agreement, on June 30. We ask the Court to

enforce that.

THE COURT: Who are the nonparties, Mr. Weinstein, and why now?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, so the four nonparties, your Honor, are all attorneys at Arnold & Porter, which is Mr. Ben-Jacob's law firm.

A number of reasons for why now. We still, to date, have not been able to take Mr. Ben-Jacob's deposition. In his recent answer to the most recent complaint against him, he alluded in an introductory statement to the fact that he was relying on work that others in his firm or advice that others in his firm provided to the defendants. So we need to know what they're going to say, and we still don't know what he's going to say about that other than that.

In addition, as a result --

THE COURT: And earlier there was a whole privilege assertion as to all of the Arnold & Porter stuff.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Correct. And as your Honor noted that one of the issues there was your Honor assigned down to the magistrate judge, and that decision went in our favor. So there was an additional large, voluminous production of documents over the summer —

THE COURT: Yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WEINSTEIN: -- with internal communications at that firm.

THE COURT: OK. Ms. McCarthy, I understand your irritation, but I think the explanation is perfectly reasonable. Although I will, as to depositions, enforce your agreement, as respects nonparties, I will not do so with respect to these four depositions. I think there are extenuating circumstances.

MS. McCARTHY: Your Honor, just one point. I just want to point out, though, we gave all the billing records in March of this year to SKAT. They knew who all the people were. They could have noticed depositions. There's really no basis for this delay.

But I understand where the Court is — where you are on this. Can we ask, though, that the Court require that everything be done by October 31, then, as far as these nonparties?

THE COURT: Well, look, in principle, sure, but one learns in time that somebody gets hit by a car, somebody gets COVID, there are sometimes extenuating circumstances. So, obviously, they ought to be done by the end of October, but if there's some good reason why something can't be done by the end of October, I'll listen to it.

MS. McCARTHY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: That takes care, then, of depositions.

I understand the debate about the request for admissions and interrogatories. My experience is nobody ever

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

won or lost the case that I was close to on either, and we're going to take care of that with an overall fact discovery cutoff, and that's where we are. OK.

MS. McCARTHY: Your Honor, I'm so sorry. I left one thing out.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. McCARTHY: We had been waiting for SKAT to tell us who will be verifying their interrogatories. We still today do not know who that person is, and we've completed, for the most part, our depositions of SKAT personnel. So we may, depending upon who they put forward as the verifier, need to question that witness. So that's one caveat, one carve-out that we would ask for.

THE COURT: That's a fair carve-out.

MS. McCARTHY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I looked at your proposed schedule, and here's what we're going to do:

All fact discovery is to be completed by December the 4th, and that includes requests for admissions and interrogatories.

Also to be done by that date is that all parties are to identify their experts and the topics on which they will testify. This is not the full Rule 26 disclosure that I'm talking about. It's just what I said, who are they and the topics.